	Case 2:14-cv-02135-RFB-NJK Document 15 Filed 03/10/15 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	ALEX MADEDIEZ
11	ALEX MARTINEZ,)) (Cose No. 2014 on 02125 RED NIV
12	Plaintiff(s), Case No. 2:14-cv-02135-RFB-NJK
13	vs.) ORDER) CLARK COUNTY,)
14	Defendant(s).
15	
16	This matter is before the Court on the parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling
17	Order. Docket No. 13. The proposed discovery plan misstates Local Rule 26-4, in that it provides
18	that requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order need only be filed 21 days before the
19	discovery cut-off. See Docket No. 13 at 3-4. Local Rule 26-4 requires that any request to extend
20	deadlines set forth in the scheduling order must be submitted at least 21 days before the subject
21	<u>deadline</u> . For example, any request to extend the deadline for initial expert disclosures must be filed
22	at least 21 days before the expiration of that deadline. Such a request filed only 21 days before the
23	discovery cut-off would be untimely.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

In an effort to ensure future compliance and complete understanding of the Local Rules, the Court hereby **ORDERS** attorneys M. Lani Esteban-Trinidad and Cayla Witty to file certifications with the Court no later than March 17, 2015, indicating that they have read and comprehend Local Rule 26-4. Counsel are advised that similar violations in the future may result in the imposition of sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: March 10, 2015 United States Magistrate Judge

Case 2:14-cv-02135-RFB-NJK Document 15 Filed 03/10/15 Page 2 of 2